

# Le Sueur County, MN

Tuesday, May 2, 2017
Board Meeting

# Item 5

9:25 a.m. Amy Beatty, Environmental Programs Specialist (15 min)

RE: Solid Waste Licenses and 2016 County Feedlot Officer Annual Report

**Staff Contact:** 



Mailing Address: 88 South Park Avenue, Le Center, MN 56057 Physical Address: 515 South Maple Avenue, Le Center, MN 56057

Direct Dial: 507-357-8538 Fax: 507-357-8541 Email: environmentalservices@co.le-sueur.mn.us

County Website: www.co.le-sueur.mn.us

DATE:

Friday, April 28, 2017

TO:

Le Sueur County Board of Commissioners

FROM:

Amy Beatty, Le Sueur County Environmental Programs Specialist

RE:

Le Sueur County's 2016 Feedlot Annual Report

Attached are the Le Sueur County 2016 Annual County Feedlot Officer Annual Report and Performance Credit Report, Supplemental Information Page, 2016 MPCA County Feedlot Financial Report, and MPCA 2016 Feedlot Review Form and Letter. These report forms were provided to the county from the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) to report the feedlot activities conducted by the county feedlot officer in 2016.

Each year the county's feedlot program is reviewed by MPCA staff to determine if the county is meeting its feedlot goals and requirements, per the approved work plan. Le Sueur County's program was reviewed on March 10, 2017. As part of the review process, the county feedlot officer presents the report to the County Board of Commissioners for review, and if approved, a motion to have the Chair sign the report.

For 2016, the county's feedlot program was funded through the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency.

## Minnesota Pollution Control Agency Feedlot Program

# 2016 Annual County Feedlot Officer Annual Report and Performance Credit Report

(Data for the Period: January 1, 2016 - December 31, 2016)

Revised January 6, 2017

County:		Le Sueur County					
Contact Perso	n:	Amy Beatty					
Phone Numbe	er:	507-357-8203			100 100		
E-Mail Addres	s:	abeatty@co.le-sueur.mn.us					
Signature	:		127				
_		(Signature of County Board Commissioner)		(Date)	)		
	- 1	All data must be entered in accordance with the Annual CFO Report Guidance Doc	ument.				
Except where	identi	fied, this report address those non-NPDES/SDS site required by 7020 to be registered.	No.	PC	PC Total		
REGISTRATIO	N						
	1	Feedlots in shoreland with 10 - 49 AU:	11				
	2	Feedlots with 50 - 299 AU:	100				
	3	Non-NPDES/SDS ≥ 300 AU:	51				
	4	Feedlots with NPDES/SDS permits:	10				
	5	Total - Feedlots required to be registered:	172				
PRODUCTION	SITE	INSPECTIONS (compliance or construction)					
	6	Feedlots inspected in shoreland with 10 - 49 AU:	0				
	7	Feedlots inspected with 50 - 299 AU:	9				
	8	Non-NPDES/SDS ≥ 300 AU inspected:	3				
	9	Total - Non-NPDES/SDS Feedlots inspected required to be registered:	12				
	10	NPDES/SDS sites inspected:	0				
	11	Inspected Feedlots non-compliant with water quality discharge standards:	6				
LAND APPLIC	OITA	INSPECTIONS					
	12	Feedlots ≥ 100 AU where Level 1 land app was conducted:	6				
	13	Feedlots ≥ 100 AU where Level 1 land app result was non compliant:	4		**************************************		
	14	Site ≥ 300 AU (or ≥100 AU in DWSMA) where Level 2 land app was conducted:	1				
Non- NPDES/SDS	15	Feedlots from Line 14 where only a Level 2 land app inspection was conducted:	1				
Sites	16	Feedlots from Line 14 where Level 2 land app result was non compliant:	1				
Sites	17	Feedlots ≥ 100 AU where Level 3 land app was conducted:	1				
	18	Feedlots from Line 17 where only a Level 3 land app inspection was conducted:	0				
	19	Feedlots ≥ 100 AU where Level 3 land app result was non compliant:	0				
SPECIALTY IN	SPECT	TIONS					
	20	How many from Line 9 are construction only (Line 9 - # of compliance insp):	0				
	21	Sites with multiple inspections where at least one was a construction insp:	0	0.5	0		
Non- NPDES/SDS	22	Feedlots inspected that are located in shoreland and/or DWSMA:	2				
Sites	23	Complaint inspections at sites required to be registered:	0				
	24	Complaint inspections at sites NOT required to be registered:	0				
	25	On-site assistance inspections:	0				
INSPECTION 1	TYPE (	Performance Credit Eligible)					
	26	Compliance Inspections at non-NPDES/SDS sites:	12	1.5	0		
Based on	27	Construction only Inspections at non-NPDES/SDS sites (to meet 7% min):	0	1	0		
Number of	28	Complaint Inspections (any size site):	0	0.5			
Sites	29	Level 2 Land Application Inspections at non-NPDES/SDS sites:	1	3	0		
Inspected by	30	Level 3 Land Application Inspections at non-NPDES/SDS sites:	1	0.5	0.5		
Туре	31	Feedlots with NPDES/SDS permits inspected:	0	0.5			
	32	Inspection Type Performance Credit Total: (Questions 26-31)			0.50		

Except where i	dentif	fied, this report addresses those non-NPDES/SDS site required by 7020 to be registered.	No.	PC	PC Total
PERMITTING		THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE			
	33	30-day construction or expansion notifications received:	1		
	34	Interim Permits Issued or Modified:	0	2	0
	35	Construction Short-Form Permits Issued or Modified at Sites ≥ 300 AU:	1	1	1
	36	Public meetings held for construction or expansion to ≥ 500 AU:	1		
EMERGENCY I		ONSE (any size site)			
		Events where emergency response was conducted: (on-site visit)	0	2	0
PRODUCTION		SCHEDULED COMPLIANCE (Achieved in current reporting year)			
		Feedlots where a partial environmental upgrade was achieved:	0		
		Feedlots where a complete environmental upgrade was achieved:	0	6	0
LAND APPLICA		N SCHEDULED COMPLIANCE (Achieved in current reporting year)			
		Feedlots ≥ 100 AU where Level 1 land app non-compliance was returned to	0		
Non-		compliance:	0		
NPDES/SDS	41	Feedlots ≥ 300 AU (or ≥ 100 AU located in a DWSMA) where Level 2 land app non-	0		
Sites		compliance was returned to compliance:			
	42	Feedlots ≥ 100 AU where Level 3 land app non-compliance was resolved:	0		
OWNER ASSIS		,			Post of Automotive
Describe on	43	Workshops or trainings hosted and/or co-sponsored by the CFO:	0	2	0
Supplemental	44	Number of feedlot owners attending events in line 43:	0		
Form.	45	Number of mailings to feedlot owners:	2		
	46	Feedlot articles placed in newspapers:	0		
STAFFING LEV	EL A	ND TRAINING			
Line 51 Based	47	FTEs - (Full Time Equivalents) supplied by the CFO(s):	1		
on One CFO	48	FTEs supplied by other county staff, including administrative and support staff	0		
per County		assigned by the county to the feedlot program:			
Attending	49	FTEs supplied through contract with other local government units:	0		
Training Event	50	Total Number of FTE positions that supported county program:	1.		
	51	CFO - training hours: (Enter total training hours earned)	23.75	0.25	1.4375
ENVIRONMEN	ITAL	REVIEW (EAW)			
	52	EAW petitions received:	0		
	53	EAWs prepared by county:	0	4	0
AIR QUALITY	NOTI	FICATIONS			
	54	Notifications received claiming air quality exemptions:	20		
ENFORCEMEN	IT AC	TIONS			
	55	Letters of Warning (LOW) issued:	0		
	56	Notices of Violation (NOV) issued:	0		The state of the s
	57	Court actions commenced:	0		
OTHER PROGI	RAM	ACTIVITIES			
	58	Feedlots where a MinnFARM was conducted:	0	1	0
Describe Lines 58-62 on	59	Hours mentoring New CFOs:	0	0.25	0
	60	CFO presentations at informational or producer groups: (per event)	0	1	0
Supplemental	61	Meetings with other local government and producer groups:	0		
Form.	62	Feedlot Ordinance Revisions:	0		
TOTAL DEDEC	DNAA	NCE CREDITS	7000000	100	2.94

# Minnesota Pollution Control Agency Feedlot Program

# 2016 Annual County Feedlot Officer Report Supplemental Information Page January 1, 2016 – December 31, 2016

County Name:

Le Sueur County

Work Plan Inspection Goals

Please describe the progress made during the calendar year in meeting your 2016 work plan inspection goals. You must provide quantitative results for each inspection production site and land application goal listed in your work plan.

From Approved 2016-2017 Work Plan

Strategy Goal	
Conduct facility compliance inspections at	
sites required to be registered that have	
never been inspected (all feedlots are in the	
MN River or Cannon River watersheds –	
WRAPS started for Cannon River).	12
Conduct a Level II land application inspection	
at all facility compliance inspections that are	
300+ AU.	3
Conduct Level I land application inspection at	
all facility compliance inspections that are	
100 to 299 AU.	4
Conduct Level III land application inspections	
at a variety of locations, types (solid and	
manure), and species (poultry, beef, dairy,	
and swine); will randomly inspect owners	
that call in for air quality exemptions and will	
random drive the county-side in spring and	
fall to view land application.	8
Conduct facility compliance inspections at	
sites with OLA to view compliance.	2
If schedule allows, conduct inspections at	
sites that were previously inspected that	
were not keeping land application records.	As time will allow
Total	29

Owner Assistance Goals Conducted 12 facility compliance inspections (8 of which were not previously inspected); conducted 1 Level II land application inspection; at 6 inspections, a Level I land application inspection was conducted but no records were available to check at 4 of the sites; conducted 1 Level III land application inspections; and conducted 1 facility inspection at an OLA site Please report on the following owner assistance activities conducted in the past year. Include date and description for each activity listed.

- Workshops or trainings hosted and/or co-sponsored by the CFO: None
- Newsletters/direct mailings sent to feedlot owners:
   February 19, 2016 reminder annual report letter to NPDES/SDS permit holders
   September 2016 newsletter to registered animal feedlot owners and cropland
   managers who receive manure (see attached)

- Feedlot articles placed in local newspapers:
   None
- .Other information and outreach activities not identified above: None

# Staffing Level and Training

Please list the training events you attended. Include date and the number of hours of participation for each event listed.

Date	Training	Hours	CEU
1/6/2016	WebEx - How to fill out the Annual CFO Report Form	1:12	1
2/24/2016	WebEx Tempo Registrations	1:22	1.25
3/22/2016	WebEx Tempo Inspections	0:46	0.75
4/28/2016	All CFO Training	7	7
7/27/2016	Webex LMSA, Permitting	0:57	1
8/24/2016	Webex Re-registration & Wiki Page	1:14	<b>1.2</b> 5
9/13/2016	Regional meeting - Owatonna	5	5
11/2, 3, 4	MACFO Conference	6	6
•			23.25

I was sick during the MACFO Conference and left at 10:30 am on November 3<sup>rd</sup>, I am taking only 6 hours of CEU for the conference.

# Feedlot Enforcement

Actions

Please describe any enforcement actions (LOW, NOV, court actions) you conducted.

2 LOWs – manure on road and not being notified when manure generated outside the county was spread in the county

# Other Program Activities

Please list sites where a MinnFARM was conducted. List the number of MinnFARMs conducted at each site.

None

Please list mentorship documentation here.

None

Please list any meetings, including meeting dates, which you attended with local government services and producer groups (including SWCD and NRCS Offices, Minnesota Extension Service, Dairy Inspectors, Minnesota Pork Producers, Minnesota Dairy Association, Minnesota Cattleman's Association).

None

Please use this space to describe any feedlot ordinance revision and/or adoption proceedings for this reporting period.

None

Please use this space to list any county feedlot program activities conducted during this reporting period not identified in this form.

None

	2016 MDCA	Cou	nty Feedlot Fin	annial Bourst
·	:			·
<u> </u>		w all o	ounty expenditures beyon	d the required match.
County	Le Sueur County	!	<u> </u>	
County Feedlot Officer	Amy Beatty		507-357-8203	
	NAME	:	PHONE	
		†		
	Budgeted	Г	Spent	Balance Remaining
Grant Award Amount	\$ 17,009.00	\$	17,009.00	0
Required Match Amount	\$ 11,820.00	\$	23,946.76	-12126.76
2015 Performance Credits (Rec'd in 2016	\$ 1,702.00	\$	1,702.00	0
TOTAL	\$ 30,531.00	\$	42,657.76	-12126.76
Activity	Spent	1		
Complaint Response	\$ 2,000.00	1—		
Inspections & Compliance	\$ 8,250.00	1		
Owner Assistance	\$ 5,000.00	1-	<del></del>	
Permitting	\$ 2,000.00	1		
Registration/Inventories	\$ 500.00	1		·
Training/Conferences	\$ 175.00	Ī	<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Administration	\$ 13,230.00	]	<del></del>	
Other (explain)				
		ļ		
Change Bow 24 - 25				
Choose Row 24 or 26 when				
entering Overhead costs. If Overhead is figured into CFO's				
salary which is in turn figured into		1	ļ	
program activity costs above, state				
that here -> and do not enter	Example: Overhead is figured into salary. Program			
Overhead costs in Row 24 or 26	activities include overhead.	] .		
Overhead Lump Sum (If you do not				
break down overhead expenses but			ļ	
track them in a lump some or in	Spent	ļ., ,	· :	
	\$ 1,700.00	l		
Overhead Broken Down (If you				
break down overhead expenses			!	
please enter amount spent for each.)	Spent		:	
Office (lease, utilities, furniture,	Spent		·	
insurance, etc.)				
				·-·
Vehicle (lease, fuel, mtnc., etc.)			!	
Supplies (computer, internet,		"	<u>_</u>	
phone, copier, fax, paper, postage,			·	
Other (explain)				
Reasearch fees	<del></del>			
MACFO Dues	\$ 25.00		<u>:</u>	
nsurance/Social Sec/PERA/Medicare	\$ 9,777.70		· · · ·	
TOTAL	\$42,657.70			
Employee Name	FTE	Gran	nt Salary Evnence /	ncludes insurance/ben
Amy Beatty	1	\$		ncludes insurance/ben (48% of employee's til
TOTAL	1:		42,657.76	1-0% or employee's til
FTE = Full Time Equivalent; the percentage of emplo				



# **Minnesota Pollution Control Agency**

Mankato Office | 12 Civic Center Plaza | Suite 2165 | Mankato, MN 56001-6002 | 507-389-5977 800-657-3864 | Use your preferred relay service | info.pca@state.mn.us | Equal Opportunity Employer

March 23, 2017

Ms. Amy Beatty Le Sueur County Feedlot Officer 88 South Park Avenue Le Center, MN 56057 MAR 2 7 2017

RE: 2016 Le Sueur County Feedlot Program Year-End Review

Dear Ms. Beatty:

On March 10, 2017, the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) completed a year-end review of the Le Sueur County (County) delegated feedlot program for the period of January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. Based upon the review, the MPCA has determined that the County satisfactorily met 17 out of an applicable 19, or 89.47 percent, of non-inspection minimum program requirements. The County also satisfactorily conducted 12 inspections of the 172 feedlots required to be registered, for an inspection rate of 7 percent.

The MPCA commends the County for its work in 2016. If you have any questions regarding the review please do not hesitate to contact me at 507-344-5265 or <a href="william.martens@state.mn.us">william.martens@state.mn.us</a>.

Sincerely,

William Martens

This document has been electronically signed.

William Martens
Environmental Specialist
Feedlot Section
Watershed Division

WM:cz

Enclosure: 2016 Year-End Review Evaluation Worksheet

cc: Michelle Oie, MPCA

Desiree Hohenstein, MPCA

# 2016 Year-End Review Evaluation Worksheet



**Review Session Requirements:** 

- 1. The County must have the following file information prepared and available as applicable\* for the review session:
  - i. A list of sites that were re-registered in 2016
  - ii. A list of sites where compliance inspections were conducted in 2016
  - iii. A list of sites where feedlots were returned-to-compliance in 2016
  - iv. A list of sites where a permit application was processed in 2016
  - \* As applicable means that a list must be prepared insofar as work was done in an identified area. For example, a list would not be applicable for a county that did not return any feedlots to compliance in 2016.
- 2. A total of 21 points are possible for the 2016 Year-End Review. Reviewers have the option to assign a credit of ½ point for Minimum Program Requirements (MPRs) 2, 6, 8, 9a, 11, 15, 16 and 18. But a county cannot receive a ½ point credit for two consecutive years for the same MPR; either the county earns a full-point in the second year or receives no credit.

Date of Review:	03/10/2017	ulka r
County	Le Sueur	r in mison the
County Feedlot Officer (CF0):	(print name) (signature) (Signature)	atta
MPCA Reviewer:	(print name) Will Martens (signature) William WI-	الا
	Agency-approved number of feedlots required to be registered (see Attachment A):	172
MPR INSPECTION RATE	Number of inspections conducted at feedlots required to be registered:	12
	Inspection rate (%):	7%
	Number of applicable non-inspection MPRs:	i 9
MPR NON-INSPECTION RATE	Number of non-inspection MPRs completed (total all the points):	17
	Non-Inspection MPR rate:	89.47 1.

Registration - Two county registration files must be reviewed to complete this section.

MPR No.		La la rea	anC .			
1	Did the County use either the MPCA standard registration form or an alternative agency-approved registration form?	Пио	YES			
11 200	One point for using the correct form (alternative form must have had prior approval by	10 110				
	MPCA). No point if it is not the correct form.					
2	Did the County meet the 30-day registration receipt requirement?					
_	One point if two files are reviewed and have the 30 day letter in them or meet other					
	One point if two files are reviewed and have the 30 day letter in them of files other					
	agency-acceptable notification requirements. One half point (½ pt) if one of the two files					
7.11	reviewed shows agency acceptable documentation. No points if it is not in there at all.					
Files reviewed for this section: Comments: 1) Sec ( GPRIOVED FORM						
1.07	19-66336 . letter not sent w/in 3	30 day 5				

December 2016

Page 1 of 4

2. 079 - 76260	used correct form, letter not	
D 1200 1 044 61 13809	sent w/in 30 days	

Inspections and Compliance – Up to four feedlot files, as applicable, may need to be reviewed to complete this section:

Two compliance inspection files must be reviewed for MPR 3, 4 and 6.

		applicable, must be reviewed for MPR 8.					
3	Were all inspections documented on the Non-N	NPDES checklist or a pre-approved non-agency	. Пио	YES			
	form?		man of the state of				
	One point for using the correct form. No point						
4	Were all inspections entered into Delta in acco	rdance with required parameters?	□NO				
	One point for putting the correct info into Delta	a. Where the County attempted data entry,	real real reliant	Colorado in			
	they may still achieve one point. No point for n	ot entering.					
5	Did the County follow their work plan inspection	on strategy?	☐ NO	YES YES			
	One point for following the work plan. No poin	ts for not following the work plan at all.					
6	Was the producer notified, in writing, of the re	sults of compliance or non-compliance?	✓NO	YES			
	( <u>N</u> A)		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	∏½ pt			
	One point if a letter was sent when required. (	One half point (½ pt) if one of the two files					
	reviewed has a notification letter.						
7	Did the County follow their work plan complian	NO	✓ YES				
	One point for following the work plan. No poin		a 3 Gluss	2 1- 1			
8	Did the county maintain documentation of cor-	rective action for any site that was returned to	NO	YES			
	compliance in the program year?( $\sqrt{NA}$ )						
11-	One point if documentation was maintained fo	r each file observed. One half point (½ pt) if					
	documentation was observed in, at least, one of		876	_			
Files	reviewed for this section:	Comments:	THE ROLL	nation)			
1. /	1 - 70 (2 (2 )						
	1000	3					
2.	779- 66527	956	E.				
	7 7 7	6					
2. 079- 76362 3. 079- 76362							
		2, 3, 4, 4					
4.		4 6 9					

Permitting ( NA) Two County permitting files, as applicable, must be reviewed to complete this section.

9a	Did the County date stamp all permit application, MMP, and Plans & Specs documents?  One point for date stamping all applications, MMPs, and Plans and Specs. One half point (½ pt) for stamping most applications, MMPs and Plans and Specs. No point for not date stamping.	□NO	✓YES  ☐½ pt
9b	Did the incomplete letter(s) sent by the County meet the 15-day requirement? (NA) One point for meeting the 15 day req. No points if it did not meet the 15 day req.	Пио	YES
10	Did the County complete an agency approved checklist for each application?  One point for completing the entire checklist on the agency approved form. No points if not completed.	□NO	YES
11	Were public notification requirements met? (500+ AU) ( NA) One point for "Good Neighbor" all notification requirements are met. One half point (½ pt) for most of the required notification met. No point if not met.	□NO	⊠YES □½ pt
12	Were permits issued no sooner than 20 business days after public notice?	NO	<b></b> ✓YES

December 2016



Page 2 of 4

	One point for permits issued 20+ days after publi					
13	Did the County complete an agency approved che	ecklist to ensure that submitted MMPs	□NO			
	requirements were met?					
	One point for completing the entire checklist. No	points if there is no checklist.		¥YES		
14	Did the County complete an agency approved checklist to ensure that submitted LSMA					
	requirements were met? ( NA)					
	One point for completing the entire checklist. No	points if there is no checklist.				
15	Did the County conduct an inspection at all sites	to insure that the proper permit was issued?	□ио	YES		
	(CSF vs. INT)	200	l.	∏½ pt		
	One point for site inspection prior to permit issue	ance and issuance of the correct permit. One		19 (5) (5)		
	half point (½ pt) for doing one of the two tasks.					
Files r		Comments:		. 7 v. 1		
1. (	79 125716			the second second		
V		only one parmit app received	W THIS	1.0		
2.		Chily che &		1.571		
		year				
Comp	plaint Response			Edvec		
<b>1</b> 6	Did the County maintain a complaint log? ( NA	A)	Пио	YES		
	One point for keeping a log and the log content i	is completed. One half point (½ pt) for		1½ pt		
	retaining some of the log information. No points	s for not keeping a log.				
Comm	ents:			1.57		
				39.1		
				-		
_				9.7.574		
	er Assistance	nee genle?	NO	YES		
17	Did the County complete work plan owner assistance goals?  One point for completing work plan owner assistance goals. No points for not meeting this.					
		ince goals. No points for not meeting this.				
Comm	ients:			qilin 1		
		• 0.5		nagene		
				1000		
Staff	ing Levels/Budget/Air Quality Exemption/We	eb Site Posting Requirement				
18	Did the County earn the required 18 continuing	education units (CEUs) of training?	□ NO	YES		
	One point for earning 18+ CEU and ½ point for o	doing 9-18 CEU and no points for 0-9 CEU		1½ pt		
19	Did the County maintain an air quality exemption	on log? ( NA)	NO	YES		
13	One point for keeping a log. No points for not ke	eeping a log.		[ 10]		
20	Did the County post feedlot annual report and g	grant information on their web site?	□NO	X YES		
20	One point for doing this. No points if they did no	ot.		- Interpretation		
Comr	nents:	1/2		1.61		
		Fi				
	We are the first and was such that					
Sum	mary Review Notes/Comments	7		1630		
	I V KING F F GAM 200		ne"			
			M. Blacon Bride			
		J-CEIV				
MAR 2 7 2017						
		Вү:				
L						
	December 2016		Page 3 of	rΔ		

## ATTACHMENT A

ATTACHIVIENT.	A
County	Feedlots
M. 2008/2007/1979	Eligible for
County	Funding
Big Stone	40
Blue Earth	363
Brown	386
Carver	238
Clay	105
Cottonwood	257
Dodge	237
Douglas	420
Faribault	362
Fillmore	737
Freeborn	285
Goodhue	685
Houston	414
Jackson	330
Kandiyohi	445
Kittson	18
Lac Qui Parle	194
Lake of the Woods	25
Le Sueur	172
Lincoln	414
Lyon	282
McLeod	329
Marshall	41
Martin	474
Meeker	253
Morrison	618
Mower	381
Murray	425
Nicollet	316
Nobles	432
Norman	45
Pennington	38
Pipestone	451
Polk	77
Pope	294
Red Lake	38
Renville	288
Rice	287
Rock	512
Sibley	289
Stearns	1,491
Steele	251
Stevens	130
Swift	157
Todd	682
Traverse	34
Wadena	99
Waseca	234
Watonwan	184
Winona	555
	263
Wright	
Yellow Medicine	271



December 2016

Page 4 of 4



Mailing Address: 88 South Park Avenue, Le Center, MN 56057 Physical Address: 515 South Maple Avenue, Le Center, MN 56057

Direct Dial: 507-357-8538 Fax: 507-357-8541 Email: environmentalservices@co.le-sueur.mn.us

County Website: www.co.le-sueur.mn.us

DATE: Friday, April 28, 2017

TO: Le Sueur County Board of Commissioners

FROM: Amy Beatty, Le Sueur County Environmental Programs Specialist

RE: 2017-2018 Solid Waste License Applications

# **City Facilities**

The following cities have requested a license to operate a composting facility. As in the past, the Cities are asking the County Board of Commissioners to waive the licensing fee and insurance and bonding requirements.

- 1. City of Cleveland the facility is open to residents of the City of Cleveland to dispose of leaves, brush, and tree material.
- 2. City of Le Center the facility is open to residents of the City of Le Center to dispose of leaves. This site is for temporary storage until the City can take the material to SMC Composting Facility in Mankato.
- 3. City of Le Sueur the facility is open to residents of the City of Le Sueur to dispose of yard waste.
- 4. City of Montgomery the facility is open to residents of the Cities of Montgomery and Heidelberg to dispose of yard waste.
- 5. City of Saint Peter the facility is open to residents of the City of Saint Peter to dispose of tree/brush and grass/plant material

### The City of Le Center Recycling Facility

The City of Le Center operates a recycling facility that is open to all Le Sueur County residents. The facility is located at 180 South Lexington Avenue. Le Sueur County funds the recycling facility through money it receives under the SCORE program – SCORE legislation provides counties with a funding source to develop effective waste reduction, recycling and solid waste management programs. The City asks the County Board of Commissioners to waive the licensing fee and bonding requirement.

### The City of Le Center Collection and Transportation of Solid Waste – 1 truck

The City of Le Center collects and transports solid waste. Its service area is the City of Le Center. The destinations of the solid waste are Minnesota Waste Processing – Mankato and LJP Enterprises, Inc. Recycling Facility – North Mankato. The City asks the County Board of Commissioners to waive the licensing fee and bonding requirement.

# **Township Facility**

#### **Waterville Township Recycling Facility**

Waterville Township operates a recycling facility that is open to residents of Waterville Township. It is located at the Waterville Township Hall - 419 3<sup>rd</sup> Street S Waterville. The hours of operation are

- Summer hours: Mondays and Saturdays from 6:30 am to 5 pm
- Winter hours: Mondays and Saturdays from 6:30 am to 3 pm.

The facility has dumpsters from West Central Sanitation – Willmar, MN for recyclables and solid waste and an area designated for items to be re-used.

# **Collection and Transportation**

The following companies have applied for a license to collect and transport solid waste and recyclables in Le Sueur County.

#### Hansen Sanitation, Inc. – Kasota, MN – 5 Trucks - \$225.00

Hansen Sanitation, Inc. will be serving the City of Kasota and Kasota Township. The destination of the solid waste and recycling materials will be Minnesota Waste Processing Center – Mankato, LJP Enterprises, Inc. Recycling Facility – North Mankato, SMC Demolition Landfill – Mankato, Ponderosa Landfill – Mankato, and Hansen Recycling and Transfer Station - Kasota. The company has provided proof of insurance. The company has provided a letter of credit from Community Bank in Mankato in lieu of a performance bond.

#### Lakers New Prague Sanitary, Inc. – New Prague, MN – 4 Trucks - \$190.00

Lakers New Prague Sanitary, Inc. will be serving the Cities of New Prague, Le Center, Le Sueur, Montgomery, and the surrounding areas. The destination of the solid waste and recycling materials will be Resource Recovery Technologies/RRT Processing Solutions (South Metro of Shakopee) - Shakopee for solid waste, DemCon - Shakopee for construction and demolition debris and recyclables, and Buckingham Recycling – Prior Lake for recyclable materials. The company has provided proof of insurance and bonding.

## LJP Enterprises, Inc. – North Mankato, MN – 13 Trucks - \$505.00

LJP Enterprises, Inc. will be serving commercial, corporate, business, and rural accounts in Le Sueur County. The destination of the solid waste and recycling materials will be Minnesota Waste Processing Company – Mankato, Ponderosa Landfill – Mankato, LJP Recycling Facility – North Mankato, Endres Processing – Rosemount, SMC Demolition Landfill – Mankato, Hansen Recycling and Transfer Station – Kasota, Midwest Recycling Solutions – Good Thunder, Ramsey/Washington Resource Recovery Facility – Newport, Prairieland Compost Facility – Truman, Steele County Landfill – Blooming Prairie, Valley Demo and Recycling – New Ulm, and Cottonwood County Sanitary Landfill - Windom. The company has provided proof of insurance and bonding.

# Waste Management of Minnesota – Mankato, MN – 31 Trucks - \$1,135.00 (as of April 28, 2017, payment was not received)

Waste Management of Minnesota will be serving all of Le Sueur County. The destination of the recycling materials will be their own facilities in Le Sueur County. The destination of the solid waste will be Hennepin County Resource Co. – Minneapolis, NRG – Newport, Rice County Landfill – Dundas, Dickinson County Landfill – Spirit Lake, IA, Spruce Ridge Res. Mgmt – Glencoe, NRG – Elk River, Burnsville Landfill – Burnsville, Elk River Landfill – Elk River, SMC – Mankato, Hoffman Demo Landfill – New Ulm, Central Disposal Landfill – Lake Mills, IA, NRG – Empire – Rosemount, Brown County Landfill – Sleepy Eye, Prairieland Compost – Truman, Valley Demo & Recycling – New Ulm, Steele County Landfill – Blooming Prairie, Spruce Ridge Landfill – Glencoe, Ponderosa

Landfill – Mankato, and Minnesota Waste Processing - Mankato. The company has provided proof of insurance. As of April 28, 2017, Waste Management has not provided proof of bonding.

# **Recycling Facility**

The following companies have applied for a license to operate a recycling facility in Le Sueur County.

## Barnett Brothers, Inc. - Kilkenny, MN - \$200.00

Barnett Brothers, Inc. service area will be an approximate twenty-mile radius around the City of Montgomery. The facility recycles bituminous and concrete materials. The company has provided proof of insurance and bonding.

#### Fessel's Wood Recycling Services, LLC - Waterville, MN - \$200.00

Fessel's Wood Recycling Services, LLC will be serving companies in the following counties Le Sueur, Rice, Waseca, Blue Earth, Nicollet, and Olmsted. The company recycles unadulterated wood and paper products for use as wood chips for landscaping and for animal bedding and mortality composting facilities. The company has provided a letter of credit from Roundbank in Waseca in lieu of a performance bond. The company has provided proof of insurance.

## Hansen Recycling and Transfer Station - Kasota, MN - \$200.00

Hansen Recycle and Transfer Station will serve all of Le Sueur County and its residents, contractors, and municipalities. The facility will be recycling demolition and new construction waste. As of April 28, 2017, the company has not provided proof of insurance and bonding.

### Selly Excavating, Inc. - Le Center, MN - \$200.00

Selly Excavating Inc. service area will be all of Le Sueur County and its residents, contractors, and municipalities. The facility will be recycling bituminous and concrete materials. The company has provided proof of insurance and bonding.

#### Waste Management of Minnesota – Mankato, MN - \$200.00 (as of April 28, 2017, payment was not received)

Waste Management of Minnesota will be serving Le Sueur, Nicollet, and Sibley Counties. The company has provided proof of insurance. As of April 28, 2017, Waste Management has not provided proof of bonding.

#### **Transfer Station**

The following company has applied for a license to operate a transfer station in Le Sueur County.

#### Waste Management of Minnesota - Mankato, MN - \$675.00 (as of April 28, 2017, payment was not received)

Waste Management of Minnesota will be serving Le Sueur, Nicollet, and Sibley Counties. The company has provided proof of insurance. As of April 28, 2017, Waste Management has not provided proof of bonding.

## **Upcoming Solid Waste Events**

Saturday, June 10, 2017 – Annual Tire, Appliance, and Electronics Collection Day from 8 am to 1 pm at the Le Sueur County Highway Department in Le Center (515 South Maple Avenue/County Road 114).

Thursday, May 4, 2017 – Mobile Household Hazardous Waste Collection from 10 am to 4 pm at the City of Le	
Sueur Public Works Shop in Le Sueur (1213 Hazel St,	, Le Sueur).



Mailing Address: 88 South Park Avenue, Le Center, MN 56057 Physical Address: 515 South Maple Avenue, Le Center, MN 56057

Direct Dial: 507-357-8538 Fax: 507-357-8541 Email: environmentalservices@co.le-sueur.mn.us

County Website: www.co.le-sueur.mn.us

DATE:

Friday, April 28, 2017

TO:

Le Sueur County Board of Commissioners

FROM:

Amy Beatty, Le Sueur County Environmental Programs Specialist

RE:

Waterville Township Request for SCORE Funding to Operate Recycling Facility

Waterville Township is requesting SCORE funding to operate its recycling facility for the residents of Waterville Township.

Per the letter received on April 20, 2017 from Waterville Township Clerk, on behalf of the Township Supervisors, the Township is requesting the following:

- 1. \$8,518.97 for the operation of the facility during the period of October 25, 2016 to April 30, 2017. The request includes \$6,718.97 for payments to Waste Management for dumpsters and \$1,800 for the township employee who manned the facility while it was open.
- 2. \$15,429.00 for the operation of the facility during the period of May 1, 2017 to April 30, 2018. The request includes \$10,629.00 for payments to West Central Sanitation for dumpsters and \$4,800.00 for the township employee who will man the facility while it is open. The Township requests the payment semi-annually, if approved.

Upon review of the County's 2017 SCORE budget - Contract Payments line item, the amount budgeted was \$40,000. This line item is used to pay the City of Le Center \$28,200 to operate the County's recycling facility and \$6,000 to Scott County for county residents to use its Household Hazardous Waste Facility. As of March 31, 2017 there is \$29,241.94 in the SCORE account. The County will be receiving \$40,915.00 within the next month and approximately \$40,000 for FY2018 1st Half SCORE payment in October 2017. Based on the past three years review of the County's SCORE and Solid Waste accounts, I believe there is sufficient funding to cover Waterville Township's request and the rest of the anticipated invoices for 2017.

I request from Waterville Township its anticipated operating costs for May 1, 2018 to April 30, 2019 by July 10, 2017 in order to prepare the 2018 SCORE and Solid Waste budgets.

# Waterville Township 419 3<sup>rd</sup>. St S Waterville MN 56096

Judy Hering, Clerk 507-362-4426



April 19, 2017

Amy Beatty Le Sueur County Environmental Specialist 515 S Maple Ave Le Center MN 56057

Re: Request for SCORE money

Waterville Township is requesting SCORE money to help pay for the cost of operating a garbage and recycling facility in Waterville Township.

We would like to request money to cover from the time we received our permit on October 25<sup>th,</sup> 2016 until April 30, 2017. The cost of operating the facility during that 6 month period is \$6,718.97 payable to Waste Management and \$1800 for individual to man the facility. Total cost is \$8,518.97. We would like that paid in one lump sum if approved.

Secondly, we would like to request money to cover from May  $1^{st}$ , 2017 to April 30, 2018. The cost of operating the facility during the upcoming year is estimated at \$10,629.00 payable to West Central Sanitation and \$4800.00 for individual to man the facility. Total estimated cost is \$15,429.00. We would like the amount paid semi-annually if approved.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Waterville Township

Judy Hering Clerk