## City of Scottsbluff, Nebraska Monday, August 5, 2013 Regular Meeting

## **Item Reports1**

## Council to consider withdrawing the application for rezoning of Block 6 Immigrant Trails and Lot 2A, Block 1, Second Immigrant Trails.

Staff Contact: Rick Kuckkahn, City Manager

1 2	Planning Commission Minutes Regular Scheduled Meeting
3	July 8 2013
4	Scottsbluff, Nebraska
5	
6	The Planning Commission of the City of Scottsbluff, Nebraska met in a regular scheduled meeting on
7	Monday, July 8 2013, 6:00 p.m. in the City Hall Council Chambers, 2525 Circle Drive, Scottsbluff,
8	Nebraska. A notice of the meeting had been published in the Star-Herald, a newspaper of general
9	circulation in the City, on June 28, 2013. The notice stated the date, hour and place of the meeting, that
10	the meeting would be open to the public, that anyone with a disability desiring reasonable
11	accommodation to attend the Planning Commission meeting should contact the Development Services
12	Department, and that an agenda of the meeting kept continuously current was available for public
13	inspection at Development Services Department office; provided, the City Planning Commission could
14	modify the agenda at the meeting if the business was determined that an emergency so required. A
15	similar notice, together with a copy of the agenda, also had been delivered to each Planning Commission
16	member. An agenda kept continuously current was available for public inspection at the office of the
17	Development Services Department at all times from publication to the time of the meeting.
18	<b>ITEM 1.</b> Chairman Clan Vandenhause called the meeting to order. Doll call consisted of the following
19 20	<b>ITEM 1:</b> Chairman, Glen Vandenberge called the meeting to order. Roll call consisted of the following members: Jim Zitterkopf, Gary Hutzel, Henry Huber, Angie Aguallo, Anita Chadwick, Becky Estrada,
20 21	and Glen Vandenberge. Absent: Dana Weber. City officials present: Annie Urdiales, Planning
22	Administrator, Marlon Johnson, City Planner, and Gary Batt, Code Administrator II.
23	Tammistator, Francis Compon, City Planner, and Cary Datt, Code Hammistator II.
24	ITEM 2: Chairman Vandenberge informed all those present of the Nebraska Open Meetings Act and that
25	a copy of such is posted on the bulletin board in the back area of the City Council Chamber, for those
26	interested parties.
27	
28	<b>ITEM 3:</b> Acknowledgment of any changes in the agenda: None.
29	
30	<b>ITEM 4</b> : Business not on agenda: None
31	TTEM 5. Citizens with items and the help have a second a Name
32	<b>ITEM 5</b> : Citizens with items not scheduled on regular agenda: None
33 34	<b>ITEM 6:</b> The minutes of 6/10/13 were reviewed and approved as distributed. A motion was made to
35	accept the minutes by Hutzel, and seconded by Estrada. "YEAS": Zitterkopf, Hutzel, Aguallo,
36	Chadwick, Estrada, and Vandenberge. "NAYS": None. ABSTAIN: Huber. ABSENT: Weber. Motion
37	carried.
38	
39	<b>ITEM 7A:</b> The Planning Commission opened a public hearing for a request for a special use permit to
40	allow Auto Sales in a C-2 Neighborhood and Retail Commercial zoning district located at 2216 14 <sup>th</sup>
41	Avenue this property is described as Lot 2, Block 2, Cannon Subdivision (1.08± acres). Auto sales are a
42	permitted use in a C-2 zoning district with a special use permit from the Planning Commission. There
43	are several pre-existing Auto Sales Businesses in the C-2 zoning district throughout the City. The
44	Planning Commission can approve a special use permit for a conditional use subject to 25-13-3 and 25-
45	13-6. Per the five required findings: 1) Auto mobile sales and services represent services that are
46 47	required by the community and the use is consistent with the surrounding zoning and uses. 2) The use will not be injurious to neighboring uses. 3) The use will not create special hazards or problems. 4) The

- 49 and purposes of the Code, plus reasonable conditions can be included if deemed necessary and
- 50 appropriate.
- 51

52 Shane Cochran, project engineer, from Paul Reed Construction, representing property owner Dave Wolf,

addressed the Planning Commission. Mr. Cochran listed eight changes to the site plan. They are also

asking that the Planning Commission approve a change to the GGO landscaping requirements regarding

trees and shrubs they would like to cut by 50% to allow a more visual view of the vehicles from the

- 56 Highway, they would also like a three year allowance for the installation of the landscaping because of
- 57 budgetary issues. A landscaping plan will be submitted for further review.
- 58

59 **Conclusion**: A motion was made by Estrada and seconded by Zitterkopf to make positive

- 60 recommendation to City Council on allow for the special use permit to allow Auto sales in a C-2 zoning
- 61 district addressed as 2216 14<sup>th</sup> Avenue to property owner Dave Wolf. "YEAS": Hutzel, Zitterkopf,
- 62 Chadwick, Huber, Aguallo, Estrada and Vandenberge. "NAYS": None. ABSTAIN: None. ABSENT:
- 63 Weber. Motion carried.
- 64

65 ITEM 7B: The Planning Commission opened a public hearing for a request to rezone property

- described as Block 6, Immigrant Trails Subdivision (41.6 acres) and Lot 2A, Block 1, Second Immigrant
- 67 Trails Subdivision (2.2 acres). The City Council at their meeting of July 1, 2013 voted by a majority (4-
- 68 1) to refer zone change to the Planning Commission, the proposal to rezone the property from M-1 Light
- Manufacturing and Industrial to M-2 Heavy Manufacturing and Industrial. The property is west of
   Immigrant Trail Road, south of Earnst Street and north of the railroad. Immigrant Trail Road is a
- frontage road on the west/southwest side of Highway 26 approximately 1/3 mile south of the Bypass.

Neighboring zoning is M-2 on the south side, M-1 on the west, north, & southeast sides and C-3 heavy

- 73 commercial on the northeast.
- 74

The comprehensive plan future land use map designates the acreage as light Industrial. As the subject

- 76 property abuts more than 1500 feet of Heavy Manufacturing and Industrial the proposal is in accordance
- with the Comprehensive Plan and the transition from M-1 to M-2 would be logical. The property is also
- in the floodplain but a floodplain development permit can be obtained to raise the structures above the
- base flood elevation by one foot, or a letter of map revision based on fill can be pursued which will
- 80 remove the structures from the floodplain.
- 81
- 82 Keith DeHaan with F2E foods spoke in favor of the zone change. They are working with an
- environmental firm with the newest technology for meat and rendering plants which will control odor.
- 85 Several community members addressed the Planning Commission in opposition of the zone change siting
   86 several concerns:
- 1. Addition of more odors to the community as the Sugar Factory; the settling ponds of both Scottsbluff
- and Gering already emit bad odors to the surrounding properties.
- 2. The company's proposed plans on bringing in their own work force and not hire locally. Personnel forthis type of business can cause future problems.
- 91 3. Who will be responsible for the costs of adding infrastructure streets, water, and sewer, for the
- 92 development, along with the maintenance of the new infrastructure?
- 4. Impact of added traffic on the Highway and what visitors will see as they drive into the Community.
- 5. Drying out the existing Aquifer, the City is already supplying water to Minatare and Gering.
- 95 6. Impact to the environment.
- 96 7. Drain of infrastructure to our schools, unable to teach students that speak Korean etc. This not only
- 97 impacts Scottsbluff but Gering also, this effects personal lives of many including teachers.

They asked why the City is going forward with this change as previous public hearings the majority of community represented is against the meat packing plant, they have already been rejected by Twin City

- 100 Development and LB840 committee. The zoning does not need to change at this time maybe further on
- 101 down the line the change may be appropriate.
- 102

103 Tom Holyoke representing Frank Enterprises addressed the Planning Commission in opposition of the zone change. City Council made referral to Planning Commission after the fact and the notice of meeting 104 had already been posted. The original application is not complete the letter submitted does not address 105 the five elements for zoning change request. The M-2 zoning to the north is not contiguous as it is 106 separated by the Highway and the Railroad Tracks. The comprehensive development plan shows the 107 future designation as light manufacturing. Property owners rely on the comp plan when they buy 108 109 property. A property owner should be able to trust the City to follow the comp plan and not make changes in the middle of development. The Planning Commission should not act on zone change 110 111 without a complete application.

112

113 Steve Smith representing Rod Adams Farms and Winters Creek Canal is concerned about the same issues 114 as Mr. Holyoke and are also in opposition to the proposed zone change.

115

116 **Conclusion**: A motion was made by Huber and seconded by Chadwick to make negative

- recommendation to City Council on the rezone of Block 6, Immigrant Trail Subdivision and Lot 2A,
- Block 1, Second Immigrant Trail Subdivision from M-1 Light Manufacturing and Industrial to M-2

119 Heavy Manufacturing. "YEAS": Hutzel, Zitterkopf, Huber, Chadwick, Aguallo, and Vandenberge.

120 "NAYS": Estrada. ABSTAIN: None. ABSENT: Weber. Motion carried.

121

Agenda Item 7C: The Planning Commission opened a public hearing for a special use permit to allow
 for a rendering plant in a proposed M-2 zoning district. This request was also referred to the Planning
 Commission from the City Council as part of the zoning change request for property described as Block
 Immigrant Trail Subdivision and Lot 2A, Block 1, Second Immigrant Trail Subdivision.

126

127 Mr. DeHaan spoke in favor of the special use permit and explained they will have state of the art 128 equipment for the plant. The new technology used can control odor. They have a new plant in Herford,

- 129 Texas in which they have implemented this new technology.
- 130

Several citizens expressed concerns of allowing a rendering plant as the use of water for the plant is very high and even though the water is being recycled and reused it still ends up at the wastewater plant and

does not go back to the Aquifer. Citizens expressed concerns that not enough data was presented

regarding the new technology used to control odors and cannot believe with the slaughtering of 1500

- head of cattle daily there would be no smells & emissions from the blood, intestines, skin, fur etc.
- 136

137 Mr. Holyoke again expressed that the application was incomplete and with no signature on the

application and no findings of fact the Commission could base their recommendation on. A rendering

139 plant would not provide a service to the neighborhood or community. In his past experience a meat

- 140 packing plant can be run without a rendering plant.
- 141

Mr. Smith, representing Rod Adams farms and Winter Creek Canal has concerns about water. How will
the City off set effects on water rights, diverters will drop river because of ditch companies and affect
them detrimentally as this is an ? over appropriated basin ...our demand exceeds supply. Working on

144 them detrimentally as this is an 2 over appropriated basin ...our demand exceeds suppry. Working on 145 water rights now and City or Developer will have to address this as they develop property. How much

146 water effects canal and diverts. When extra water taken out the State looks at the effect on other

- properties the huge withdrawals will lower the water table, the ditch companies will get less and this willbe injurious to land and water supplies.
- 149

A question was asked about the advantages of a rendering plant or not having a rendering plant as part of the meat packing plant. Mr. DeHaan explained rendering emits heat, they would use lots of hot water to sterilize the equipment and service areas, also capturing revenue easier to do within instead of selling to

153 outside companies. (profit center within their domain & economic benefit to company)

154

155 **Conclusion:** A motion was made by Huber and seconded by Zitterkopf to deny the special use permit to

allow for a rendering plant in a proposed M-2 Heavy Manufacturing & Industrial Zoning District for

Block 6, Immigrant Trail and Lot 2A, Block 1, Second Immigrant Trials Subdivision. "YEAS": Hutzel,
Zitterkopf, Huber, Chadwick, Aguallo, Estrada and Vandenberge. "NAYS": None. ABSTAIN: None.

159 ABSENT: Weber. Motion carried.

160

161 There being no further business the Planning Commission with a motion to adjourn made by Estrada and

- 162 seconded by Chadwick the meeting was adjourned at 7:25 p.m. "YEAS": Hutzel, Huber, Aguallo,
- 163 Estrada, Chadwick, Zitterkopf, and Vandenberge. NAYS: none. ABSENT: Weber. Motion carried.
- 164
- 165
- 166 Glen Vandenberge, Chairperson
- 167

168 Attest: \_

169 Annie Urdiales