

## City of Grand Island

Tuesday, April 23, 2013 Council Session

## Item G-14

#2013-119 - Approving Agreement for Engineering Services for the Eddy Street and Sycamore Street Underpass Bridges and Retaining Walls

Staff Contact: John Collins PE, Public Works Director

Page 1 / 21

## Council Agenda Memo

From: Scott Griepenstroh, Project Manager

Meeting: April 23, 2013

**Subject:** Approving Agreement for Engineering Services for the

Eddy Street and Sycamore Street Underpass Bridges and

Retaining Walls

Item #'s: G-14

**Presenter(s):** John Collins PE, Public Works Director

### **Background**

All agreements must be approved by the City Council.

The Eddy Street and Sycamore Street Underpasses provide unobstructed movement of traffic north and south of the Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) corridor and are vital to our community. Both of these structures were constructed in the mid-20th Century and, as per agreements with UPRR, the responsibility for repair and maintenance of most of the components of each structure, excluding the bridges that carry rail traffic, belong to the City of Grand Island.

In 2011, the Public Works Department acquired the engineering services of Kirkham, Michael & Associates, Inc. to perform a general structural evaluation of the different components of each underpass, including the pavement, retaining walls, sidewalk, drainage, lighting and the four publicly owned bridges.

The results of the study were presented to the City Council on March 6, 2012. The report included confirmation that the underpasses were sufficiently sound, but repairs were needed to prevent further deterioration. Recommended improvements for the sidewalk, pavement and drainage system have already been undertaken.

On the Eddy Street Underpass, the two publicly owned bridges are the North Front Bridge and the Mill Street Bridge. On the Sycamore Street Underpass, the two publicly owned bridges are the South Front Street Bridge and the Industry Overpass Bridge. The 2011 study documented deterioration and damage typical to older bridges. All four bridges are currently load rated on the Nebraska Bridge Inventory and are approaching the threshold of requiring weight limits to be posted.

The scope of services with Kirkham, Michael & Associates, Inc. did not include additional testing and evaluation on the condition of each of the publicly owned bridges due to the broad scope of the initial study and the unique services required for assessment of aging bridge components. After further research and consultation with bridge managers from various government agencies, an appropriate request for statements of qualifications was developed for engineering services for assessing the severity and extent of damages due to exposure and structural stresses, and for determining appropriate actions for extending the useful life of each bridge.

### **Discussion**

Statements of Qualifications were solicited from Consulting Engineering Firms for performing testing to determine specific deck distresses resulting from high chloride concentration, corrosion of reinforcing steel, poor concrete condition, and other structural factors. The results of the testing shall be used to determine the expected service life of each bridge deck. The selected consultant shall provide alternatives to extend the useful life of each bridge deck utilizing economic analyses to minimize life cycle costs and provide optimal solutions.

Two (2) statements of qualification were received. On December 19, 2012, a committee comprised of Public Works Project Manager Scott Griepenstroh, Street Superintendent Shannon Callahan, and Interim Public Works Director Terry Brown evaluated the statements of qualification based on established criteria. Parsons Brinkerhoff, Inc. was selected

Through negotiations to develop the final scope, it was determined that a 30 year extension of the useful life for each bridge deck was tenable. It was also determined appropriate to include testing to evaluate the expected service life of the abutment supports and adjacent retaining walls. The testing protocol, modeling for remaining service life, and selection of maintenance, repair and rehabilitation options will follow guidelines as per in the National Cooperation Highway Research Program Report 558, Manual on Service Life on Corrosion-Damaged Reinforced Concrete Bridge Superstructure Elements. The agreement was negotiated for the work to be performed at actual costs with a maximum amount of \$116,959.87, including a fixed fee of \$4,860.95, (\$82,252.70 for the four bridges and \$34,707.17 for the retaining walls).

The estimated costs for maintenance, repair or replacement of the four bridges ranges from \$340,000 to \$1,356,000. Unless the assessments are performed and the remaining service life of each bridge is determined, the cost effectiveness and expected life of the repair and rehabilitation options cannot be determined. Also, without sufficient knowledge of the condition of the bridge decks, attempting to perform lower cost treatments may cause corrosion of concrete reinforcing steel to accelerate.

The estimated cost for replacing the retaining walls at the Eddy Street Underpass, excluding costs for pavement and sidewalk replacement, dewatering and engineering is \$1,445,000. Similar to the bridges, the cost effectiveness of repair, rehabilitation or

replacement options cannot be determined without performing assessments and modeling remaining service life.

## **Alternatives**

It appears that the Council has the following alternatives concerning the issue at hand. The Council may:

- 1. Move to approve
- 2. Refer the issue to a Committee
- 3. Postpone the issue to future date
- 4. Take no action on the issue

## **Recommendation**

City Administration recommends that the Council approve the agreement with Parsons Brinkerhoff, Inc. for engineering services for the Eddy Street and Sycamore Street Underpass Bridges and Retaining Walls.

## **Sample Motion**

Move to approve the agreement.

# PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT PB AGREEMENT NO. \_\_\_

THIS Agreement is made and entered into this day of, 2013,
by and between City of Grand Island, with offices at 100 East First Street, Grand Island, NE
68801 (hereinafter called the "CLIENT"), and Parsons Brinckerhoff, Inc. a New York corporation,
with offices at 1225 'L' Street, Lincoln, NE 68508 (hereinafter called "PB").
WITNESSETH THAT:
WHEREAS, the CLIENT desires professional engineering services (hereinafter called the
"SERVICES");
WHEREAS, the CLIENT has solicited the services of PB to evaluate bridge & retaining wall
conditions on the Eddy Street & Sycamore Street Union Pacific Railroad Underpass Bridges, City
of Grand Island, Nebraska (hereinafter called the "PROJECT");
WHEREAS, PB has submitted a proposal dated December 6, 2012 which outlined an approach
for such PROJECT; and
161 546.11 1100201, 4314
WHEREAS, the CLIENT on December 14, 2012 selected PB to perform the SERVICES;
NOW, THEREFORE, for the consideration hereinafter set forth, the parties hereto do mutually
agree as follows:
FIRST: EMPLOYMENT OF PB

D-1

05/12

The CLIENT retains and employs PB to act for and represent it in all engineering matters

involved in the performance of the SERVICES, subject to the terms, conditions, and stipulations

as hereinafter stated.

SECOND: PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The City of Grand Island requires determining the cost effectiveness of service life extension, rehabilitation or replacement options for the Eddy Street (North Front Street) and Sycamore Street (Front Street) bridges, associated abutment walls and retaining walls based on an additional 30-year service life. The services requested will require structural and corrosion investigations and evaluations.

THIRD: SCOPE OF SERVICES

PB shall render the SERVICES set forth in Exhibit A1 for the bridges and as set forth in Exhibit A2 for the retaining walls, attached hereto and made part of this Agreement.

FOURTH: <u>COMPENSATION</u>

For the performance of the SERVICES set forth in Article THIRD, the CLIENT shall reimburse PB for all costs, charges, and expenses incurred by PB in the performance of the SERVICES, shall pay an overhead allowance, and shall pay a fixed fee. PB's estimated total compensation includes reimbursable costs and an overhead allowance, plus a fixed fee. PB shall be compensated in an amount estimated to be One hundred sixteen thousand nine hundred fiftynine dollars and eighty-seven cents (\$116,959.87) including a fixed fee of Four thousand eight hundred sixty dollars and ninety-five cents (\$4,860.95).

PB shall not be obligated to perform services or incur costs which would cause its total compensation under this Agreement to exceed \$116,959.87 nor shall the CLIENT be obligated to reimburse PB for costs or make payments which would cause the total compensation paid to PB to exceed \$116,959.87, unless and until the CLIENT shall have notified PB in writing that the total compensation has been increased and further specifies in such notice a revised total compensation amount against which the obligation of the parties hereto shall be payable in accordance with this Article.

05/12

D-2

#### FIFTH: PAYMENT

PB shall submit at the end of each four (4) week period invoices on PB standard form for reimbursable costs/ overhead allowance/ and fixed fee incurred in or before such period. Such invoices shall be paid to PB within fourteen (14) days of City Council approval. Payment will be remitted after approval of the claim by the City Council. The City Council typically meets the second and fourth Tuesday of each month. Invoices must be received well in advance of Council date to allow time for evaluation and processing

PB invoices shall include the portion of the fixed fee that bears the same proportional relationship to the invoiced reimbursable costs and overhead allowance as the total fixed fee bears to the total estimated reimbursable costs and overhead allowance. Residual fixed fee shall be included in the final invoice.

#### SIXTH: DATA TO BE FURNISHED BY CLIENT

Data to be furnished by the CLIENT to PB at no cost to PB consist of existing plans and reports; furnish traffic control (signage, equipment and labor) to conduct site work.

#### SEVENTH: <u>INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR</u>

PB represents that it has, or will secure, at its own expense, all personnel required in performing the SERVICES under this Agreement. Such personnel shall not be employees of, nor have any contractual relationship with the CLIENT. PB, consistent with its status as an independent contractor, further agrees that its personnel will not hold themselves out as, nor claim to be, officers or employees of the CLIENT by reason of this Agreement.

#### EIGHTH: <u>INSURANCE</u>

PB shall effect and maintain insurance at its own cost and expense to protect itself from claims under Workers' Compensation Acts; from claims for damages because of bodily injury including sickness, disease, or death of any of its employees; from claims for damages because of injury to or destruction of tangible property; and from claims arising out of the performance of

D-3

professional services caused by errors, omissions, or negligent acts for which it is legally liable, each in the amount of \$1,000,000.

PB shall furnish the CLIENT with a certificate(s) of insurance showing PB has complied with this Article, which certificates shall provide that thirty (30) days written notification of cancellation of the policies shall be given to the CLIENT.

#### NINTH: INDEMNITY AND LIMITATION

PB shall indemnify, defend, and hold harmless the CLIENT from and against any and all claims, suits, actions, judgments, demands, losses, costs, expenses, damages, and liability caused solely by, resulting solely from, or arising solely out of the negligent acts, errors, or omissions of PB, its officers, employees, agents, or representatives in the performance of SERVICES under this Agreement. PB's liability to the CLIENT shall not exceed the total compensation received by PB hereunder, and PB shall in no event be liable in contract, tort, or otherwise, for any indirect or consequential damages, including but not limited to loss of estimated profits, loss of use, loss of revenue, cost of capital, loss of good will, or similar damages arising out of its performance of the SERVICES hereunder.

In the event of any reuse or other use by the CLIENT of the drawings, specifications, and other documents furnished by PB hereunder, the CLIENT shall indemnify, defend, and hold harmless PB from and against any and all claims, suits, actions, judgments, demands, losses, costs, expenses, damages, and liability caused by, resulting from, or arising out of such reuse or other use.

#### TENTH: CHANGES AND EXTRA SERVICES

The CLIENT may make changes within the general scope of this Agreement. If PB is of the opinion that any proposed change causes an increase or decrease in the cost and/or the time required for performance of this Agreement, PB shall so notify the CLIENT of that fact. An agreed-upon change will be reduced to writing signed by the parties hereto and will modify this Agreement accordingly. PB may initiate such notification upon identifying a condition which may change the SERVICES agreed to on the effective date of this Agreement, as set forth in Exhibit A1 and A2.

D-4

Any such notification must be provided within thirty (30) days from the date of receipt by that party of the other party's written notification of a proposed change. In the event that agreement cannot be reached by the parties hereto as to a particular change, the issue shall be resolved pursuant to Article SEVENTEENTH, DISPUTES.

The CLIENT may request PB to perform extra services not covered by the SCOPE OF SERVICES as set forth in Exhibit A1 and A2, and PB shall perform such extra services and will be compensated for such extra services when they are reduced to a writing mutually agreed to and signed by the parties hereto amending this Agreement accordingly.

The CLIENT shall not be liable for payment of any extra services nor shall PB be obligated to perform any extra services except upon such written amendment.

#### ELEVENTH: <u>DELAYS</u>

PB shall perform its SERVICES with due diligence upon receipt of a written Notice to Proceed from the CLIENT. The CLIENT may authorize costs to be incurred prior to such written Notice to Proceed. In the event that performance of its SERVICES is delayed by causes beyond the reasonable control of PB, and without the fault or negligence of PB, the time and total compensation for the performance of the SERVICES shall be equitably adjusted by written amendment to reflect the extent of such delay. PB shall provide the CLIENT with written notice of delay, including therein a description of the delay and the steps contemplated or actually taken by PB to mitigate the effect of such delay.

#### TWELFTH: <u>TERMINATION</u>

This Agreement may be terminated by either party hereto upon seven (7) days written notice in the event of substantial failure by the other party to perform in accordance with the terms of this Agreement through no fault of the terminating party. This Agreement may also be terminated by the CLIENT for its convenience or because the PROJECT has been permanently abandoned, but only upon fourteen (14) days written notice to PB.

D-5

05/12

Grand Island Council Session - 4/23/2013 Page 9 / 21

In the event of termination, PB shall be compensated for all services performed and costs

incurred up to the effective date of termination for which PB has not been previously

compensated, plus termination expenses reasonably incurred.

Upon receipt of notice of termination from the CLIENT, PB shall discontinue the

SERVICES unless otherwise directed and upon final payment from the CLIENT deliver to the

CLIENT the required number of copies of all data, drawings, reports, estimates, summaries, and such other information and materials as may have been accumulated by PB in the performance

of this Agreement, whether completed or in process.

THIRTEENTH:

**OWNERSHIP OF DOCUMENTS** 

The parties hereto agree that PB shall retain possession of all drawings, specifications,

and other documents when its services have been completed. The CLIENT will be provided two

(2) sets of reproducible drawings, specifications, and other documents so furnished and they

shall not be reused either for additional services on this PROJECT to be done by others, or on

other projects, without the prior written consent of PB. Such consent shall stipulate what, if any,

additional compensation shall be paid to PB for such reuse of documents by the CLIENT. In no event shall the receipt of such additional compensation operate as a waiver of PB's rights under

Article NINTH, INDEMNITY AND LIMITATION.

FOURTEENTH:

**SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS** 

PB shall not assign, sublet, sell, transfer, or otherwise dispose of any interest in this

Agreement without the prior approval of the CLIENT.

This Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the parties hereto, their

successors and permitted assigns, but shall not inure to the benefit of any third party or other

person.

FIFTEENTH: NONWAIVER

D-6

No failure or waiver or successive failures or waivers on the part of either party hereto, their successors or permitted assigns, in the enforcement of any condition, covenant, or article of this Agreement shall operate as a discharge of any such condition, covenant, or article nor render the same invalid, nor impair the right of either party hereto, their successors or permitted assigns, to enforce the same in the event of any subsequent breaches by the other party hereto, its successors or permitted assigns.

SIXTEENTH: NOTIFICATION

All notices required or permitted under this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be deemed sufficiently served if served by Registered Mail addressed as follows:

TO CLIENT:

City of Grand Island

100 East First Street

Grand Island, NE 68801

ATTENTION: Scott Griepenstroh

TO PB:

Parsons Brinckerhoff, Inc.

1225 'L' Street, Suite 502

Lincoln, NE 68508

ATTENTION: Scott Rathjen

SEVENTEENTH: DISPUTES

Any and all claims, disputes, and other matters in question arising out of or relating to this Agreement or the breach thereof which are not disposed of by mutual agreement of the parties hereto shall be submitted to arbitration conducted and governed by the Construction Industry Arbitration Rules of the American Arbitration Association in effect at the time of the execution of this Agreement. This Agreement to arbitrate shall be specifically enforceable under the prevailing arbitration law.

The party requesting such arbitration shall file notice of the demand for arbitration in writing with the other party hereto and with the American Arbitration Association in Hall County,

D-7

05/12

Grand Island Council Session - 4/23/2013 Page 11 / 21

Nebraska. In no event shall the demand for arbitration be made after the date when institution of an action at law or in equity based on such claim, dispute, or other matter in question would be barred by the applicable statute of limitations. The award rendered at such arbitration shall be final and judgment may be entered upon it in accordance with applicable law in any court having jurisdiction thereof.

Any such arbitration shall not include, by consolidation, joinder, or in any other manner, any additional persons not a party to this Agreement except by written consent signed by the parties hereto and such persons sought to be joined. Such consent shall be limited to the dispute described therein and the parties named therein.

EIGHTEENTH: <u>APPLICABLE LAW</u>

This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Nebraska.

NINTEENTH: <u>EXTENT OF AGREEMENT</u>

This Agreement represents the entire and integrated agreement between the CLIENT and PB and supersedes and replaces all terms and conditions of any prior agreements, arrangements, negotiations, or representations, written or oral, with respect to this PROJECT.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Agreement has been executed by the CLIENT and PB, effective from the day and year first written above.

CITY OF GRAND ISLAND

By: \_\_\_\_(Name, Title)

PARSONS BRINCKERHOFF, INC.

D-8

#### Consulting Engineering Services Related

To

Grand Island Eddy Street & Sycamore Street Union Pacific Railroad Underpass Bridges City of Grand Island, Nebraska

#### Scope of Services

The City of Grand Island requires determining the cost effectiveness of service life extension, rehabilitation or replacement options for the Eddy Street (North Front Street) and Sycamore Street (Front Street) bridges and associated abutment walls based on an additional 30-year service life. The service request will require structural and corrosion investigations and evaluations. SCS, Inc. and CONCORR, Inc., as sub-consultants to PB, will perform corrosion engineering and related QA/QC services, respectively.

The following services will be required for the bridges to be analyzed:

- Determine remaining bridge service life:
  - A. PB will perform a concurrent corrosion and structural engineering evaluation to identify structural system deterioration and deficiency types. The following services will be provided:
    - 1. Perform visual observation for evidence of foundation settlement.
    - Perform concrete surface crack and delamination and steel corrosion evidence survey, clearly identifying location and extent of deficiencies.
    - 3. Perform field corrosion evaluation and deterioration modeling.
      - Identify steel corrosion and section loss and other critical reinforced concrete deterioration and remaining corrosion service life.
      - b. Identify practical corrosion mitigation actions.
      - c. Provide life cycle cost analysis.
      - In addition to SCS' QA/QC practices, CONCORR will provide independent desktop QA/QC of corrosion investigation and evaluation.
      - e. Submit independent bridge report.
    - Identify and coordinate with the City all traffic control needs. (Traffic control to be provided by the City).
    - 5. Prepare drawings showing location, type and extent of deficiencies.
  - B. PB will perform a comprehensive substructure and superstructure engineering evaluation, excluding below roadway surface, to determine remaining service life and current condition load rating capacity.
    - 1. Identify critical structural conditions and City requirements for load rating capacity.
    - Review existing load rating and perform current condition load rating capacity and compare to City requirements using LARS.

- Identify all routine repair, rehabilitation or replacement needs for the substructure, excluding foundation, and superstructure components with the goal of an additional 30-year service life.
- C. PB will perform a comprehensive life cycle cost analysis considering repair, rehabilitation or replacement alternatives with the goal of delivering an additional 30year service life and make recommendations for the City's review and concurrence.
- D. PB QA/QC activities
  - 1. Observation of field operation activities.
  - 2. Field data collection activities for compliance with standard industry practice.
  - 3. Review of all deliverables including corrosion proposal and report, prior to submittal.
- E. Project Admin This task includes activities to initiate and monitor project schedules, workload assignments and internal cost controls throughout the project. Also included are efforts to prepare and process invoices and monthly progress reports; prepare project correspondence with the City; and maintain project records.
- F. Project Deliverables
  - 1. Draft Assessment report for the analyzed bridges.
    - a. Condition of structures.
    - b. Rehabilitation options.
    - c. Economic analysis of cost options.
    - d. Recommendations for further analysis.
  - 2. Comment review and resolution for final reports.
    - a. Includes one revision to the report.
- G. Meetings
  - 1. Coordination Meeting
    - a. Two traffic control meetings with City of Grand Island staff will be conducted and attended by two (2) PB staff.
  - 2. Report presentation to City of Grand Island, attended by two (2) PB staff.
- H. To be provided by City
  - 1. All bridge and abutment wall information.
  - City to supply traffic control for the cost effectiveness of the field operations. Traffic
    control to meet identified minimum daytime work schedule from 8:30 am to 3:30 pm,
    including weekends and excluding holidays. If traffic control is removed due to other
    City emergency issues, the schedule and cost may need to be revised and additional
    cost may apply.
  - 3. Event related or other field work restrictions.

#### I. Assumptions:

- 1. Per the City of Grand Island's direction, existing timber piles are assumed to be in good condition and assessment is not included in this study.
- Scope does not include any coordination or permitting with the Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR).
- 3. Life Cycle Cost Analysis will utilize the most current Average Unit Price (AUP) from the Nebraska Department of Roads (NDOR) or other AUP available.
- For purposes of the study, PB will evaluate and finding will be considered uniform at Eddy St. (Mill Drive) and Sycamore St. (Industry Overpass) locations at the direction of the City of Grand Island.
- J. Schedule: Submittal of Final Report within 120 days from Notice to Proceed (NTP). The City of Grand Island shall coordinate the NTP with PB to allow for completion within proposed time.
- K. Cost Proposal: Exhibit 'B1'

	oposal for City of Grand Island - Bridge Service Life	Cost Analysis	2 0.7	1.55			reu i	1,1	Μ,	11700	3.75			77	-	100	24.			11		BIT B1				113	7.5	75		
	Grand Island, Nebraska									u,		МЦ				pre	pared by	Parsons	Brincke	rhoff, F	ebruary .	25, 2 <mark>013</mark>						بستت		ب
	ibution by Phase	Hours		Fee			10	٦			ement		p.o	60	P00															
Task 1	Corrosion & Structural Engineering Evaluation	78		\$ 7,738.56		8	E	show location, ficiencies	ج ا	-a	E Z	1	E	Æ	<u>E</u>	I	<sub>=</sub>		ध											1
Task 2	Comprehensive Substructure & Superstructure Eng. Eval.	92		\$ 10,662.45		l ē	8	S &	5	and	S S		<u>ĕ</u>	흺	<u>ë</u>	1	<u>a</u>		e	SS							l ₽			1
Task 3	Perform comprehensive life cycle cost analysis	9		\$ 2,137.48	1	evidence	≝	S S	S & Sing	ent ent	lg se		E	l g	l s	1	Ĕ		Assignments	18							Traffic	1		
Task 4	QA/QC	20		\$ 2,765.17	1	=	₽	lei o	la ta	i gi	8 %		N O	S C	l s	1	X		Ssig	8	g						1 1			
Task 5	Project Administration	31		\$ 3,281.10	۱ "	l iš	l ag	to sl	a di l	oad ratings al requirments	를 E		<u>₹</u>	l i≅	is	1	within Standard es		⊗ ∀	≥	<u>e</u>						<u>=</u>	_		1
Task 6	Project Deliverables	8		\$ 1,139.76	Ë	\g	<u>:</u>	1 5 g	5 5	S of	ੂੜ ਜੂ		l ë	l e	l e	۱ "	ls ≰i		×	펄	8						l ee	읥		
Task 7	Meeting	12		\$ 1,609.49	₫	form crack, amination/corrosion e	g	] <u>É</u> 8	] al c	E 25	jë jë		I ≵	l tt	:   🕏	Ü	5 ∺	≥	<u>ặ</u>	invoices and monthly progress reports	Š	₩					Coordination Meeting Control	Report Presentation		1
	Subconsultants			\$ 49,904.00	ğ	lio ra	8	è =	l iž ti	15 Si	a a		ප	& ≨	S =	<u>a</u>	l Ä Ä	Report Review	l ĕ	[ [	🖁	8	l E	ដ			l ë	l se		1
	Reimbursable Expenses			\$ 2,992.00	ë	E in	8	e e	l c l	l e l	for A		l S	ಕ್ರ ≝	len de	<u>ĕ</u>	1 8 Z	%	l st	S 83 ~	Ϊ́	Å	ge J	l g			<u>_</u> <u>ai</u> _	Ţ .		
					폍	for a	불병	par e &	# # #	iev npa	i s		] o i	S &	\( \frac{1}{2} \) \( \frac{1}{2} \)	8	la C	6	je l	흥탕	je.	je	E E	<del>"</del>			l g f	8		
					Visual Observations	Perform	Identify & Coordinate Traffic Control needs	Prepare drawings t	Identify critical conditions & City requirements for load rating	Review existing load ratings comparer to City requirment	Identify Repair/Rehab/Replacer needs for a minimum 30 year se	<u> </u>	Life Cycle Cost Analysis considering Repair	Life Cycle Cost Analysis considering Rehabilitation	Life Cycle Cost Analysis considering Replacement	Field Operations	Data Collection wit Industry Practices	Reg	Project schedules	홀힐	Project Correspondence	Project Records	Draft Report	Final Report			JŠ	Reg		
	Total Labor and Expenses	250		\$ 82,230.02	1,1	1.2	1,3	1.4	2.1	2.2	2.3		3.1	3.2		4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5,3	5.4	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	7.1	7.2	7.3	7,
				,,		-					mpreher	nsive		sk 3: Pe			-	-	1										-	
			Direct Labor	Labor Cost		c 1: Corros					& Supers			prehen		l Ta	sk 4: QA	/QC	Task 5	: Proiec	t Admini	stration	Task	6: Proje	ct Delive	rables		Task 7:	Meetings	gs
	Staff	Total Hours	Rate	Budget		Engineerir	ng Evaluat	ion			g. Eval.			e cost a				,	1	- 1			ľ	,			l .		_	
PIC	Principle-in-Charge	Total Hours	Hate	Dauget		1	1	1	1	1	1		1 5,5	1	1	1			1						T -			1	T	
	Fredrickson		\$60.10	\$ -	-								_	1			1				1									
PM	Project Management		300.10	,			1		1				1						l —				l –							
141	Rathjen	85	\$39.17	\$ 3,329.45	12	2 1		6	4		-				1-	2.	R s	3	16		3 :	3 .						2 5	8	1
Admin	Administration	- 03	203.17	J.323.43	1	1.	1	Ť - '	1		1		1			1 '	1 -		1		1						1	1		
numm	Morris	1.0	\$25.60	\$ 256.00		-	1	-	-		-	-	1	1		1	1		1 2	ļ .	1 1		2					_	1	1
DPM		10	, \$25.0U	230.00 د		1			1-		1		1	-		1			1		1		<b> </b>	<u> </u>	1			$\mathbf{I}$		1
DEIVI	Deputy Project Management  Garcia	10	\$82.00	\$ 820.00	_	_		+	1-		1				2		1-	1 .			1		1						1	
	Galcia	10	\$62.00	\$ 620.00			-	-	1 -		1	-	<del>  '</del>		1 1	1-	1-	1 -	1		1		1 -		1		1	+	1	-
SE	Structural Evaluation	-				-		+	1-		-		-	-		-	1-	-	-		1		-					1		
SE.	Structural Evaluation	40	\$68.48	\$ 684.80	_	-		-	-	1 4	,	4	-	-	+	-	-	-	-		1	1	<del> </del>	-	-		1	+	_	+-
	Elwell	76			1.	2 1		-	1 3	3 24	1	6	-	-		-				_	-	-	-	-				+	_	-
	Christie	26			12	1	4	-	4 3	24	8 1			_	_	-	-	-	-		-	-	1	-	-		1	+	+	+
	Long	24			-	_	-	1	- '	9	D 1	0	-	-		-	-	-	-		-							1	1	+-
24		24	\$35.00	\$ 840.00	-		_	1	0	-	-	٥	-	_		1	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	+	_	+-
QA	Quality Control and Assurance		tc2.50	ć 427.42	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_				-	ļ .			-	+	1	1
	Hamada		\$63.56				-	_	-	-	-		1 .	4	4		-	-	-		-	-	l	1 1	4		1	+	2	+-
	Garcia		\$82.00	\$ 574.00		-		-	-		-	-	1	4	1 9	4	-	-					-	-		-	1	+ -	-	+
							-	-	-	-	-	_		-	-	-	-	_			-	-	-		-		-	+	-	+-
							-	-	-	-	-		-	-			-							-			_	1	+-	+-
		_				-	-	-	1-		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	1		-	-			-		-	+	+	+-
						-	-	-	-	-		-		-			-	-	_		-	-		-	-			1	+	+
				4 45 455 55		-	1		1	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	_	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	+-	+	+-
	Total Labor Cost	250		\$ 10,128.03		-	-		-	-	ļ	-	_	-			-	-		_	-			-				1-	-	+-
	PB Overhead			\$ 16,063.06					-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	_	-	_	-	+		-
	Facilities Capital Cost of Money		0.20%			-			1	-		_	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-		_		-	-	+	-	+
	PB Margin		12%											-		-	-				-			-	-	-	-		-	
	Escalation		0%				-		1		1	-	-			-	-	-	<del> </del>		-		ļ	_		-	-	+-	+-	-
2.8963	Total Labor Revenue Budget			\$ 29,356.70				-			-	-	_				-		-		-									+
	Subconsultant Revenue Budget											1						V								-			1	4
	Consultant - SIVA			\$ 46,912.00															-						-			-		-
	Consultant - ConCorr			\$ 2,992.00																									-	-
	Expense Revenue Budget																											4		4
page	Repro (8.5 x 11 / 11 x 17)	250							1				_			1												1		-
oage	Prints & Plots (Large Format)		\$ 10.00																		_						-	1		
package	Postage & Delivery		\$ 15.00																								-	_		4
miles	Travel (Ground)	600																						_						
trip	Travel (Airfare)		\$ 800.00	\$ 800.00																										
day	Lodging (cost + taxes)		\$ 92.00	\$ 368.00																										
day	Meals		\$ 46.00																											
day	Car Rental		\$ 60.00																											
gallon	Fuel	40	\$ 4.00																											
week	Other (manlift)	100	\$ 700.00																											
	Total PB Revenue Budget			\$ 82,252.70				•	-							•			•											

#### Consulting Engineering Services Related

 $T_0$ 

Grand Island Eddy Street & Sycamore Street Union Pacific Railroad Underpass Retaining Walls City of Grand Island, Nebraska

#### Scope of Services

The City of Grand Island requested from PB limited testing to determining the cost effectiveness of service life extension, rehabilitation or replacement options for the Eddy Street and Sycamore Street Union Pacific Railroad Underpass Retaining Walls based on a projected additional 30 year service life. This service request will require structural and corrosion investigations and evaluations. SCS, Inc. and CONCORR, Inc., as sub-consultants to PB, will perform corrosion engineering and related QA/QC services, respectively.

The following services will be required:

- 1. Determine remaining retaining wall service life:
  - A. PB will perform a concurrent corrosion and structural engineering evaluation to identify structural system deterioration and deficiency types. The following services will be provided:
    - 1. Perform visual observation for evidence of foundation settlement.
    - 2. Perform concrete surface crack and delamination and steel corrosion evidence survey, clearly identifying location and extent of deficiencies.
    - 3. Perform field corrosion evaluation and deterioration modeling.
      - a. Identify steel corrosion and section loss and other critical reinforced concrete deterioration and remaining corrosion service life.
      - b. Identify practical corrosion mitigation actions.
      - c. Provide life cycle cost analysis.
      - d. In addition to SCS' QA/QC practices, CONCORR will provide independent desktop QA/QC of corrosion investigation and evaluation.
      - e. Submit independent retaining wall report.
    - 4. Identify and coordinate with the City all traffic control needs. (Traffic control to be provided by the City).
    - 5. Prepare drawings showing location, type and extent of deficiencies.
  - B. PB will perform a comprehensive engineering evaluation, excluding below roadway surface, to determine remaining service life.
    - 1. Identify critical structural conditions.
    - 2. Identify all routine repair, rehabilitation or replacement needs for the retaining walls, excluding foundation, with the goal of an additional 30 year service life.

Grand Island Council Session - 4/23/2013 Page 17 / 21

- C. PB will perform a comprehensive life cycle cost analysis considering repair, rehabilitation or replacement alternatives with the goal of delivering an additional 30 year service life and make recommendations for the City's review and concurrence.
- D. PB QA/QC activities
  - 1. Observation of field operation activities.
  - 2. Field data collection activities for compliance with standard industry practice.
  - 3. Review of all deliverables including corrosion proposal and report, prior to submittal.
- E. Project Admin This task includes activities to initiate and monitor project schedules, workload assignments and internal cost controls throughout the project. Also included are efforts to prepare and process invoices and monthly progress reports; prepare project correspondence with the City; and maintain project records.
- F. Project Deliverables
  - 1. Draft Assessment report for each retaining wall.
    - a. Condition of retaining walls.
    - b. Rehabilitation options.
    - c. Economic analysis of cost options.
    - d. Recommendations for further analysis.
  - 2. Comment review and resolution for final reports.
    - a. Includes one revision to the report.
- G. Meetings
  - 1. Coordination Meeting
    - a. Two traffic control meetings with City of Grand Island staff will be conducted and attended by two (2) PB staff.
  - 2. Report presentation to City of Grand Island, attended by two (2) PB staff.
- H. To be provided by City
  - 1. All retaining wall information.
  - City to supply traffic control for the cost effectiveness of the field operations. Traffic
    control to meet identified minimum daytime work schedule from 8:30 am to 3:30 pm,
    including weekends and excluding holidays. If traffic control is removed due to other
    City emergency issues, the schedule and cost may need to be revised and additional
    cost may apply.
  - 3. Events related or other field work restrictions.
- I. Assumptions:
  - 1. For the purpose of this study, only a limited analysis will be completed on all retaining walls outside the footprint of all bridge across the underpass.

- 2. Scope does not include any work under and coordination or permitting with the Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR).
- 3. Life Cycle Cost Analysis will utilize the most current Average Unit Price (AUP) from the Nebraska Department of Roads (NDOR) or other AUP available.
- 4. This work will be completed concurrently with the Bridge Deck Analysis.
- For purposes of the study, PB will evaluate a total of 200 feet of retaining wall, one –
  100 foot section for each of the two locations. The City of Grand Island has directed
  PB that findings will be considered uniform for all retaining wall locations at Eddy
  Street and Sycamore Street underpasses.
- J. Schedule: Submittal of Final Report with 120 days from receipt of Notice to Proceed (NTP). The City of Grand Island shall coordinate the NTP with PB to allow for completion within proposed time.
- K. Cost Proposal: Exhibit 'B2'

Cost Pro	posal for City of Grand Island - Retaining Wall Serv	rice Life Cost /	Analysis	WW.							-			10		<b>77</b> P		11 11 1			EXH	BIT B2								
City of (	Grand Island, Nebraska				, J. S. I.	, , Di		27.		211					م رود و	pre	pared by	Parsons	Brincke	rhoff, Fe	bruary 2	25, 2013	M.L							
Fee Distril	ution by Phase	Hours		Fee			0		25	t e			DO.	bo.	bo.															
Task 1	Corrosion & Structural Engineering Evaluation	38		\$ 3,886.86	1	g	ouvey Identify & Coordinate Traffic Control needs	s to show location, f deficiencies	critical structural conditions	Identify Repair/Rehab/Replacement needs for a minimum 30 year service ife	1		Life Cycle Cost Analysis considering Repair	Life Cycle Cost Analysis considering Rehabilitation	Life Cycle Cost Analysis considering Replacement	1	_		환						$l = l^{\prime}$	1 - 1			1 '	
Task 2	Comprehensive Engineering Evaluation	72		\$ 7,904.98	1	l E	ပိ	l # "	<del>j</del>	l is se	1		l e	<u>e</u>	ge	1	] B		eu l	SS					l = l	I = I	,≌		1	
Task 3	Perform comprehensive life cycle cost analysis	6		\$ 1,424.99	1	evidence	≝		8	eg eg	1		SE SE	Sign	Siz	1	within Standard es		Assignm	e gre					1 - 1	I = I	Traffic		1	
Task 4	QA/QC	2		\$ 475.00		- u	E	je je	<u></u>	% &			l s	2   2	S	1	🛱		Sig	l gr	_ g				l = l	1 - 1		1 /	1	
Task 5	Project Administration	8		\$ 593.17	1	l si	je j	15 G	₹	ag E			, si	l iš	,š	1				<u> </u>	en				l = l	U = I	ii.			
Task 6	Project Deliverables	10		\$ 1,487.90	l s	6	<u>=</u> .	f de	₽	돌교			la l	la la	la la	۱ "	wit		%	t l	l E				1 - 1	$\parallel  \prime$	ee	읥		
Task 7	Meeting	2		\$ 226.90	量	گ دِ ا	5	rings d of (	<del>8</del>	<u>  =                                 </u>			¥	1 ts -c	🕻	lä	E E	≥	Ιğ	Ĕ	S S	×			(-1)	0 - I	Σ	l ii		
Tusk /	Subconsultants	-		\$ 18,695.00	Observations	1 5 ië	පි	le a	⊭	a n	1		Š	8 8	8 5	E E	ğ ğ	iş.	j ~	2	🖺	8	ᄫ	╘	(-1)'	$\parallel \parallel \parallel \parallel$		i se		
	Reimbursable Expenses			\$ -	ĺš	n c	%	e d	ַ כ	of A			용	용 #	등 등	ed.	# 5	&	X	S S	2	%	g e	e e	(-1)'	1 - 2	a =	글		
	Neimbursable Expenses			•	<u> </u>	For a	entif	par 8	<del>'</del> \{\frac{1}{2}}	돌물	1		ai Ç	Ç 8		0	a C ust	6	je	Invoices and monthly progress reports	jec	Project Records	Ę.	<del> </del>	1 /	1 /	Coordination Meeting - Control	Report Presentation		
					Visual (	Perform crack, delamination/corrosion	ig ig	Prepare drawin type & extend o	Identify	를 를 릴			Re F	불활	R EF	Field Operations	Data Collection wit Industry Practices	Report Review	Project schedules &	re g	Project Correspondence	P 6	Draft Report	Final Report	$(-1)^{\prime}$	l	J S S	Re		
	Total Labor and Expenses	138		\$34,694.79	1.1		1,3	1.4	2.1	2.2	2.3		3.1	3.2	3.3	4.1	4.2	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	6.1	6.2	6,3	6.4	7.1	7.2	7.3	7.4
	Total Labor Bild Expenses	130		40 1,00 1170			•	-	1					sk 3: Per																
			Direct Labor	Labor Cost			sion & Str			Гask 2: Cor				prehens		l Ta	sk 4: QA	/QC	Task 5	: Project	Adminis	tration	Task	6: Projec	t Deliver	rables	1	Task 7: N	Meeting	,S
	Staff	Total Hours	Rate	Budget	6	Engineerir	ng Evaluat	ion	E	Engineerin	g Evaluat	ion		e cost a		1				,			l			/				
PIC	Principle-in-Charge	Total Hours	nate	Dauget			1	T	1		T		1	T	114															
FIC	Frinciple-in-Charge Fredrickson	2	\$60.10	\$ 120.20			1						1										1	1						
PM	Project Management		300.10	7 120.20					1																					
I IVI	Rathjen	22	\$39.17	\$ 861.74	1 ,	6	6	2 6	5																		7	2		
Admin	Administration		,JJ3.17	9 301.74	<u> </u>		1		1																					
Aumin	Morris	12	\$25.60	\$ 307.20	_	-		+	1										4	1	1	2	2	2						
DPM		12	\$23,00	307.20							1		l —																	
DEIAI	Deputy Project Management		\$82.00	\$ 410.00	<u> </u>	1		_	1				1	1	1	1		1					1							
	Garcia	1 3	302.00	7 410.00							1		1		1			-												
C.E.	Characterist Francisco					-	-		-	_	_	-		_		-			_											
DE .	Structural Evaluation	1	\$68.48	\$ 273.92	-	-				2	2			_	1															
	Elwell	50		\$ 273.92	-	4	4	-	1	6 2	4																			
	Christie	20		\$ 1,061.00	<u> </u>	1		1-		8 1	-	1	<del>                                     </del>			_		1									_			
	Long	16					-			0 1	2		-	_	_	1												1		
	Hill	16	\$35.00	\$ 500.00	_	1						-	<u> </u>			_	1		_								_		_	
QA	Quality Control and Assurance		¢ca cc	ć 427.12	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	<u> </u>	-		-	_	_	-					2				1		
	Hamada		\$63.56			-		-	-	_	-		-	1	1 .	1		1					1	- 4	-		1		$\vdash$	_
	Garcia	- 5	\$82.00	\$ 410.00	_	-	+	+	-	_	-	-	-		1 .	1		1	-			_					_			1
						1	-	_	-		-		-		-	-			-	-							_	<del>                                     </del>		+
					-	-	-	-	-	_	-		_	-						-	-	-					1		_	1
						1	-		-		-	-			-	-			_	-		-						_	_	
						-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	1								11:1			_	1	$\vdash$	_
		100		A 5 5 5 3 4 4 0	1-	-	+			+	-	1	-	-	+	1	_	1	_	_					$\vdash \vdash$	<b>—</b>	+-	_	_	_
	Total Labor Cost	138		\$ 5,524.18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		_	-	_	-		-		-	_						+-	1	_	_
	PB Overhead (Includes .3% for Facilities Cost to Capitol)	-		\$ 8,761.35	-	1			-		-	1	l —			1	-	-						-			1	1		_
	Facilities Capital Cost of Money		0.20%				-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-	1									1	1		1
	PB Margin			\$ 1,715.59				1	1						_	-	1	1	-										$\vdash$	_
	Escalation		0%		-		-	-	1	+			-	_	-	1-	1	1					-		-		-	+		+
2.8963	Total Labor Revenue Budget			\$ 16,012.17		+	y .																							
	Subconsultant Revenue Budget			d 47 100 00																										
	Consultant - SIVA			\$ 17,199.00			1		1	-	1			-	_			_	-					-			_	1		1
	Consultant - ConCorr			\$ 1,496.00																				-						
	Expense Revenue Budget		A	_																							1			
page	Repro (8.5 x 11 / 11 x 17)	_	\$ 0.50		-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	+	-	-	-	<del>                                     </del>		_			-		<b>-</b>	+-	+-	1	+
page	Prints & Plots (Large Format)		\$ 10.00							-	-	1		1	-	1			-								1	1	1-	1
package	Postage & Delivery		\$ 15.00			-				-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-				-				<del></del>	-	_	+	
miles	Travel (Ground)		\$ 0.565		_				-	-	-	-	_		_	-	-	-	-	-		-					+-	-	1	+
trip	Travel (Airfare)		\$ 800.00				1					-			-	-	-	-	_				-	_		$\vdash$	_	-	1	+
day	Lodging (cost + taxes)		\$ 92.00		_										_	1		-						_			+	+	-	
day	Meals		\$ 46.00												-			-				-				-	-	+-	+	+-
day	Car Rental		\$ 60.00						1		-			-	-							_				-	-	-	+	+
gallon	Fuel		\$ 4.00												_				_								_	+	-	$\perp$
week	Other (manlift)		\$ 700.00															1											1	$\bot$
	Total PB Revenue Budget			\$ 34,707.17																										

Total PB Revenue Budget

\$ 34,707.17

#### RESOLUTION 2013-119

WHEREAS, on November 16, 2012 the Engineering Division of the Public Works Department for the City of Grand Island, advertised for Request for Statements of Qualifications for Consulting Engineering Services Related to Grand Island Eddy Street and Sycamore Street Union Pacific Railroad Underpass Bridges; and

WHEREAS, on December 6, 2012 Statements of Qualifications for such engineering services were opened and evaluated by Public Works Project Manager Scott Griepenstroh, Street Superintendent Shannon Callahan, and Interim Public Works Director Terry Brown based on established criteria; and

WHEREAS, the Statement of Qualifications submitted by Parsons Brinkerhoff, Inc. of Lincoln, Nebraska received the highest ranking based on the established criteria; and

WHEREAS, such work will consist of performing testing to determine specific deck distresses resulting from high chloride concentration, corrosion of reinforcing steel, poor concrete condition, and other structural factors; and

WHEREAS, through negotiations to develop the final scope, it was determined that a 30 year extension of the useful life for each bridge deck was tenable, and the scope would also include testing to evaluate the expected service life of the abutment supports and adjacent retaining walls, and

WHEREAS, the agreement was negotiated for the work to be performed at actual costs with a maximum amount of \$116,959.87, including a fixed fee of \$4,860.95, (\$82,252.70 for the four bridges and \$34,707.17 for the retaining walls).

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND ISLAND, NEBRASKA, that the agreement with Parsons Brinckerhoff, Inc. of Lincoln, Nebraska with a maximum amount of \$116,959.87 for the evaluation of the bridges and retaining wall conditions on the Eddy Street and Sycamore Street Union Pacific Railroad Underpass Bridges is hereby approved.

- - -

Ado	pted by	v the	City	Council	of the	City o	f Grand	Island.	, Nebraska,	. April 23.	. 2013.

	Jay Vavricek, Mayor	
Attest:		
RaNae Edwards, City Clerk		